

REMARKS

In response to the rejection of claims 31, 40-41, 50-51, 56, 58, 63-64 79-80 and 94 under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting, allegedly because they are not patentably distinct from claims 1, 16 and 23 of US patent 6,353,580, a Terminal Disclaimer for Patent No. 6,353,580 accompanies this response.

The Commissioner is hereby authorized to credit any overpayment or charge any fee (except the issue fee) including fees for any required extension of time, to Account No. 14-1270.

Respectfully submitted,

By Michael Belk
Michael E. Belk, Reg. 33,357
Senior Patent Counsel
(914) 333-9643